Examiner-Initiated Interview Summa		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	an/	10/019,930	BABEJ, JIRI	
	iai y	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jermie Cozart	3726	
All Participants:		Status of Application	allowed	
(1) <u>Jermie Cozart</u> .		(3)		
(2) <u>Eugene Rzucidlo</u> .		(4)		
Date of Interview: 16 September 2005		Time: <u>11:32 AM</u>		
	□ Applica No	nnt's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
None				
Claims discussed:		•		
44, 51, and 54				
Prior art documents discussed: None				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH	IE GENEI	RAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:	
During a telephone conversation with Mr. Rzucidlo, Mr amendment in order to correct minor defects and to pla				
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant result in resolution of all inques. A bridge of the significant result in resolution of all inques. 	ation. The separate r	e examiner will provide a record of the substance of	written summary of the sub the interview, since the int	stance
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief	ounnidi	у бу ше еханшвег арреав	o iii mait ii abuve.	
			·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate	e)